

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

11 RICHARD KADREY, *et al.*,  
12 Individual and Representative Plaintiffs,  
13 v.  
14 META PLATFORMS, INC., a Delaware  
15 corporation,  
16 Defendant.

Case No. 3:23-cv-03417-VC-TSH

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
DEFENDANT META PLATFORMS INC.'S  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION REQUESTING  
LEAVE FOR REBUTTAL EXPERT REPORT  
AND DEPOSITIONS**

1 Defendant Meta Platforms, Inc. (“Meta”) filed a Administrative Motion Requesting Leave  
2 for Rebuttal Expert Report and Depositions, per Civil L.R. 7-11.

3 Having considered the Administrative Motion, and finding good cause for the motion, the  
4 Court **ORDERS** as follows:

5 Defendant Meta’s Motion is **GRANTED** and the following rebuttal expert discovery is  
6 allowed:

7 (1) Meta may file a declaration from Meta’s expert Barbara Frederiksen-Cross with  
8 Meta’s March 24, 2025, summary judgment submission, rebutting the Choffnes Report as relevant  
9 to Plaintiffs’ summary judgment motion;

10 (2) Meta may serve a rebuttal expert report addressing the full scope of the Choffnes  
11 Report from Ms. Frederiksen-Cross by April 4, 2025;

12 (3) Plaintiffs shall allow Meta to conduct a deposition of Dr. David Choffnes, by April  
13 1, 2025;

14 (4) Meta shall make Ms. Frederiksen-Cross available for deposition on her April 4, 2025  
15 rebuttal report; and

16 (5) No further expert discovery, such as a further rebuttal report by Plaintiffs to Ms.  
17 Frederiksen-Cross’s April 4, 2025 rebuttal report, is permitted.

18  
19 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED.**

20  
21 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
HON. VINCE CHHABRIA  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE